

| | | | |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/690,863 | KRUMMELL ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Jared W. Newton | 3634 | |

All Participants:

(1) Jared W. Newton (Examiner).

(2) Kenneth Ohriner (Attorney).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 June 2006

Time: 11:30 am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1, 9, 15, 17, 18

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner proposed including the limitations of claim 15 into claim 9, and the limitations of claim 18 into claim 17. The Attorney agreed with the proposal, putting the application in condition for allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 RICHARD E. CHILCOT, JR.
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)